kulangara Kannazhath Vatakke Matathil Rama Varman Thirupad and 57 other inhabitants of Trippunithura dated 6th Karkadagam 1108 for widening and extending the above lane;

(b) if the answer is in the affirmative, what action has been taken on the same;

(c) what is the approximate cost of the works proposed?

Mr. P. V. Raphael.—(a) Yes.

(b) The question has been considered but put off for want of funds.

(c) Rs. 5,000.

Grant to the fourth class in the Madrasalul Illhadyya, Eriyad.

58. **Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.**—Will the Government be pleased to state—

(a) when the fourth class in the Madrasalul Illhadyya, Eriyad, was recognised by the Government;

(b) whether grant-in-aid has been applied for for the said class;

(c) if the answer to (b) is in the affirmative, when;

(d) how the application has been disposed of?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—(a) From the beginning of the educational year 1106—1107.

(b) Yes.

(c) Edavam 1107.

(d) The grant has not been sanctioned for want of funds.

Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—May I know whether this grant was recommended by the Director of Public Instruction in 1108?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—I recommend grants for all classes when new classes are opened. When new classes are recognised automatically the grant is also recommended. It was recommended in this case without any reference to the resources.

Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—It was not given in 1108?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—It was not given for a specific reason which still exists.

Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—May I know whether the Government will consider the question of giving grant to this class?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—In the course of my reply to a question yesterday, I said Government were faced with a financial crisis. They are spending over Rs. 60,000 on education that is 33 per cent of the revenues of the principality and were this to go up year by year, as new classes are started, I do not know where we will be. They should simply call a halt.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—This particular school is intended for backward communities.

Mr. I. N. Menon.—Yes.

Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—May we expect the same sympathy shown towards the other communities ?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—It will be accorded and with double sympathy.

Enhancement of Market license fees in Palayannur viliage.

59. **Mr. T.A. Ramachandra Ayyar.**—Will the Government be pleased to state—

(1) how many markets are there in the Palayannur village;

(2) who are the owners of such markets;

(3) what was the original license fee of each market paid to Government every year;

(4) were enhancements made at any time;

(5) if so, when and how much;

(6) what was the reason for the enhancement;

(7) did the Director of Public Health visit the Kallalipadam market and make a report before the enhancement;

(8) if so, will a copy of the report be placed on the table?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(1) Two.

(2) Mr. Ramankutta Menon, Achat, Palayannur and the Government.

(3) Rs. 100 for the private market of Mr. Ramankutta Menon. No license fee is levied for the Government market. It is either auctioned or leased out every year.

(4) Yes.

(5) In 1109. The license fee of the private market was raised to Rs. 150.

(6) Consequent upon the fixing of the license fees of markets at 15 per cent of the gross income of the previous year subject to a minimum of Rs. 25.

(7) and (8) Yes. There was no necessity for a report since the Director of Public Health himself is competent to fix the license fees for private markets.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Is this the first market the license fee of which was raised after the Director of Public Health took charge ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran—I want notice.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—May I know, Sir, what are the methods adopted by the Government to find out the income of the market?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly